June 27, 2001

Mr. Howard C. Berger Attorney at Law P. O. Box 299 Floresville, Texas 78114

OR2001-2769

Dear Mr. Berger:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 148809.

The Floresville Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information regarding a specified police officer including training and testing records, duty and discipline records, and all non-privileged personnel records. You have released some of the requested information to the requestor. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted under sections 552.102 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in pertinent part:

- (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:
  - (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime; [or]
  - (2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We note that you have submitted a copy of a DWI offense report. Because this report is not responsive to the request for information, we will not address this offense report. See Gov't Code § 552.301(a).

- (b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:
  - (1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution; [or]
  - (2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

A governmental body that raises section 552.108 must sufficiently explain, if the responsive information does not provide an explanation on its face, how and why section 552.108 is applicable to the information. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986). The submitted records relate to the employment relationship between the officer and the department and, therefore, do not deal with the detection, investigation or prosecution of crime. See Open Records Decision No. 423 (1984). Furthermore, you have not otherwise explained how the release of these records would interfere with law enforcement or the prosecution of the pending DWI charge. Thus, you may not withhold the requested information under section 552.108 of the Government Code.

You also assert that the submitted information is excepted under section 552.102 of the Government Code. Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be applied to information claimed to be protected under section 552.102 is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court for information claimed to be protected under the doctrine of common law privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Government Code. See Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Therefore, we will address common law privacy.

The doctrine of common law privacy protects information that contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts about a person's private affairs such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and the information must be of no legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* This office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy: some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, *see* Open Records

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 552.101 of the Government Code protects "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," including information protected by the common law right of privacy.

Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps), and personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, see Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990), and information concerning the intimate relations between individuals and their family members, see Open Records Decision No. 470 (1987).

After reviewing the submitted information, we have marked some medical and personal financial information that must be withheld under sections 552.101 and 552.102 in conjunction with common law privacy. We have also marked another individual's insurance policy number which must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common law privacy. The remaining submitted information, however, is not excepted under sections 552.101 and 552.102 in conjunction with common law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (public employee's job performance does not generally constitute his private affairs), 455 (1987) (public employee's job performances or abilities generally not protected by privacy), 444 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees).

We note that the submitted information contains records which are subject to section 159.002(b) of the Occupations Code, the Medical Practice Act ("MPA"). Section 552.101 also encompasses information protected by statute. Section 159.002(b) provides the following:

A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

Thus, access to medical records is governed by provisions outside the Public Information Act. See Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). The MPA provides for both confidentiality of medical records and certain statutory access requirements. Occ. Code §§ 159.002, .003. Medical records may be released only in accordance with the MPA. Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). We have marked the documents which may only be released in accordance with the MPA.

The submitted information also contains a peace officer accident report that appears to have been completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. See Transp. Code § 550.064 (officer's accident report). The Seventy-fourth Legislature amended section 47 of article 6701d, V.T.C.S. to provide for release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. See Act of May 27, 1995, 74th Leg., R.S., ch. 894, § 1, 1995 Tex. Gen. Laws 4413. Further, the Seventy-fourth Legislature also repealed and codified article 6701d as section 550.065 of the Transportation Code without substantive change. See Act of May 1, 1995, 74th Leg., R.S.,

ch. 165, §§ 24, 25, 1995 Tex. Gen. Laws 1025, 1870-71.<sup>3</sup> In section 13 of Senate Bill 1069, the Seventy-fifth Legislature amended section 550.065 of the Transportation Code to provide for release of accident reports under specific circumstances. Act of May 29, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 1187, § 13, 1997 Tex. Gen. Laws 4575, 4582-83 (current version at Transp. Code § 550.065). The Seventy-fifth Legislature also repealed section 47 of article 6701d, V.T.C.S. in section 16 of Senate Bill 1069. *Id.* § 16(b), 1997 Tex. Gen. Laws 4575, 4583.

However, a Travis County district court has issued a permanent injunction enjoining the enforcement of the amendment to section 550.065 of the Transportation Code enacted by section 13 of Senate Bill 1069. Texas Daily Newspaper Ass'n v. Cornyn, No. 97-08930 (345th Dist. Ct., Travis County, Tex., April 26, 2000). The district court has declared that the law in effect prior to the passage of Senate Bill 1069 now governs and remains unaffected by the permanent injunction. We have determined that the law in effect prior to the passage of Senate Bill 1069 was section 47 of article 6701d, V.T.C.S.<sup>4</sup>

Section 47 of article 6701d provides in pertinent part:

(a) Except as provided by Subsection (b) of this section, all accident reports made as required by this Act or Section 4, Texas Motor Vehicle Safety-Responsibility Act . . . by persons involved in accidents, by garages, or by peace officers shall be without prejudice to the individual so reporting and shall be privileged and for the confidential use of the Department [DPS] and agencies of the United States, this state, or local governments of this state having use for the records for accident prevention purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Because the repeal of a statute by a code does not affect an amendment of the statute by the same legislature which enacted the code, the amendment of section 47 of article 6701d, V.T.C.S. is preserved and given effect as part of the code provision. See Gov't Code § 311.031(c). In 1997, the Seventy-fifth Legislature enacted Senate Bill 898 and amended section 550.065 of the Transportation Code to conform to section 47 of article 6701d as enacted by the Seventy-fourth Legislature and repealed article 6701d. See Act of May 8, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 165, § 30.125, 1997 Tex. Gen. Laws 327, 648-49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Although the Seventy-fifth Legislature enacted Senate Bill 898 prior to the passage of Senate Bill 1069, Senate Bill 898 was not effective until September 1, 1997. See Act of May 8, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 165, § 33.01, 1997 Tex. Gen. Laws 327, 712. Further, Senate Bill 1069 expressly provides that to the extent of any conflict, Senate Bill 1069 prevails over another Act of the Seventy-fifth Legislature. See Act of May 29, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 1187, § 16(c), 1997 Tex. Gen. Laws 4575, 4583. If irreconcilable amendments are enacted at the same session of the legislature, the latest in date prevails. Gov't Code § 311.025(b). Because Senate Bill 898 was never effective and later amendments prevail, we conclude that section 47 of article 6701d, V.T.C.S. was the law in effect prior to the passage of Senate Bill 1069 regarding the availability of accident report information rather than section 550.065 as amended by Senate Bill 898.

(b)(1) The Department or a law enforcement agency employing a peace officer who made an accident report is required to release a copy of the report on request to:

. . .

- (D) a person who provides the Department or the law enforcement agency with two or more of the following:
  - (i) the date of the accident;
  - (ii) the name of any person involved in the accident; or
  - (iii) the specific location of the accident[.]

V.T.C.S. art. 6701d, § 47(a)-(b)(1). See Act of May 27, 1995, 74th Leg., R.S., ch. 894, § 1, 1995 Tex. Gen. Laws 4413.<sup>5</sup> Because the requestor has not provided the pieces of information specified by the statute, you are required to withhold the marked peace officer accident report pursuant to section 47(a) of article 6701d, V.T.C.S.

We also note that portions of the submitted information are excepted under section 552.117(2) of the Government Code. Section 552.117(2) excepts from public disclosure a peace officer's home address, home telephone number, social security number, and information indicating whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer made an election under section 552.024 of the Government Code. See also Open Records Decision No. 670 (2001) (providing that a governmental body may withhold information under section 552.117(2) without requesting a decision from this office). Section 552.117(2) applies to peace officers as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We have marked the information which you must withhold under section 552.117(2) of the Government Code.

The submitted documents also contain information that is excepted under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. We have marked the officer's driver's license number, a license plate number and VIN number which you must withhold under section 552.130(a) of the Government Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>We note that the text of amended section 47 of article 6701d is not found in Vernon's Revised Civil Statutes or in the Transportation Code. However, section 47 of article 6701d is published in the 1995 General and Special Laws of the 1995 Legislature at chapter 894, section 1.

In conclusion, you must withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.101 or 552.102 in conjunction with common law privacy. You may only release the marked medical records in accordance with the MPA and must withhold the peace officer accident report pursuant to section 47 of article 6701d of the Vernon's Revised Civil Statutes. You must also withhold the marked information under sections 552.117(2) and 552.130 of the Government Code. You must release the remaining submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. Id. § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bialek

Assistant Attorney General

Aland afinnel

Open Records Division

JHB/sdk

Ref: ID# 148809

Enc. Marked documents

c: Mr. Gregory S. Simmons

Law Office of Philip Bozzo, Jr. & Associates

405 South Presa

San Antonio, Texas 78205

(w/o enclosures)